

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/726,402	12/03/2003	Richard J. Vanderah	1787-15300 5595		
23505	7590 10/27/2004		EXAMINER		
CONLEY ROSE, P.C.			PATEL, HARSHAD R		
P. O. BOX 3267 HOUSTON, TX 77253-3267			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
110001011,	,,,200 020,		2855		

DATE MAILED: 10/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					- En		
Office Action Summary		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/726,40)2	VANDERAH ET AL.			
		Examine		Art Unit			
		Harshad		2855			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears on the	e cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	S		
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply specified above is less than thirty (period for reply is specified above, the maximum s re to reply within the set or extended period for repl reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ev munication. 30 days, a reply within the stat statutory period will apply and w y will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be t utory minimum of thirty (30) da ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror lication to become ABANDON	imely filed bys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this commur ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.		
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on					
2a)□	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is r	on-final.				
3)[
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restri	iction and/or election r	equirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.					
10)🛛	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 6/7/04 is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any object						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	to by the Examiner. N	ote the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-1	52.		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	y documents have been y documents have been sof the priority documents have been and the priority docum	en received. en received in Applica ents have been receiv le 17.2(a)).	ition No ved in this National Stag	ge		
* (See the attached detailed Office acti	on for a list of the cert	ified copies not receiv	/ed.			
		·					
Attachmen	· ·		4) Interview Summer	n/ (PTO-413)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/726,402 Page 2

Art Unit: 2855

Drawings

- 1. Figures 1A and 1B should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.121(d)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters in Figs. 3-8 has numerals been used to designate the same elements. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show various numeral, such as 105 (Fig. 1A), 712 (Fig. 7), 812 (Fig. 8A) and 902, 904 (Fig. 9) as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of

Application/Control Number: 10/726,402 Page 3

Art Unit: 2855

the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 22 and 23 recites the limitation "said electric pulses" in lines 4 and 2 respectively.

 There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

7. Claims 1, 2, 7, 21 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Olson (6,611,769).

Olson teaches a positive displacement (PD) meter including a shaft (304), a flow computer and an interface including at least one magnetic pole coupled to the shaft and at least one magnetic sensor (18, 19) wherein the sensor is a hall-effect sensor.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 3-6, 8-20 and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Olson in view of Morgenthale et al. (5,831,176) (hereinafter Morgenthale).

 Olson teaches all the features of the instant invention except for the plurality of magnetic poles and Hall-effect sensors and their arrangement to perform the measurements based on the signal outputs from the sensors. Olson teaches the use of multiple sensors that provide a plurality of outputs based on the times the pole of the magnet passes the sensors thus providing information

Art Unit: 2855

on the flow rate and the direction of flow. However, Olson does not show eight magnetic poles and five Hall-effect sensors positioned according to a geometry having four quadrants with three sensors being in the first quadrant and two being in the second quadrant. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a multiple of sensors and a plurality of magnets corresponding to the sensors since such multiplicity would provide sensitive and reliable measurement values even in case one or more of the sensors fail to operate. Using a plurality of sensors would provide redundant measurements thereby provide reliable results and help identify malfunctioning. As to providing pressure sensors, Morgenthale teaches the use of pressure and temperature sensors. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide temperature and/or pressure sensors since such measurements would help in correcting the measured flow rate data based on the temperature and pressure. As to providing additional processor would be obvious in order to expedite the processing of the signals. As to providing an explosion resistant housing, a person having ordinary skill in the art would use such a housing in order to prevent the device from hazardous environment.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Munck (6,098, 456), Nicewonger et al. (6,026,838), Hendriks (4,241,605) and Dresselhuys (5,659,300) teach various types of mass flow meters.

Application/Control Number: 10/726,402

Art Unit: 2855

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harshad Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-2187. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (7:00 AM-5:30 PM).

Harshad Patel

Primary Examiner

Page 6

Art Unit 2855

hp October 25, 2004